

The Notion of Risk in Economics Revisited in the Light of Arab-Muslim Classical Literature*

Abderrazak Belabes

*Researcher at the Islamic Economics Institute,
King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*

Abstract. The aim of this study is to show how Arab-Muslim societies, from Indonesia to Andalusia, did not wait for the modern era in order to learn how to live with risk. Rather, they developed codes of conduct, modes of thinking and moral values. At first sight, the main issue of the notion of risk that emerged in the light of classical Arab-Muslim literature lies in distinguishing between two types of risk: that associated with the real economy and linked to common goods and trade; and that which is associated with gambling and speculation. Taking risk is generally encouraged if it is bound to serve the productive economy from which everyone will benefit. It is, however, prohibited to take such a risk should it feed a game where a person's gain is obtained at the expense of another. From our analysis, it appears that the notion of risk is not confined to the legal approach or the question of a probabilistic computation of uncertainty which characterizes the modernist approach to risk. This broader definition of risk opens-up the perspective to field studies incorporating notions such as vulnerability developed by some Muslim travelers. Our analysis also emphasizes the importance of studying historical manuscripts to explore alternative notions of risk.

Keywords: Risk, *Gharar*, *Maysir*, uncertainty, vulnerability, Arab-Muslim literature.

KAUJIE Classification: D1, H51, H55.

JEL Classification: B1, B19, Z12.

* A first version of this study was presented at the International Conference on 'Islamic Financial Services and Risk Management in Islamic Banking', organized by the University Ferhat Abbas and the Islamic Research and Training Institute of the Islamic Development Bank Group, Sétif, 18-20 April, 2010 (Belabes, 2010). A second version was published in French in the Journal of Islamic Finance, University of Strasbourg (Belabes, 2013). This study has been reviewed in the light of new research in Islamic finance, on the history of risk in pre-modern societies, and the notion of vulnerability developed by travelers. I thank the two peer reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improve the quality of the manuscript. I remain solely responsible for any errors and omissions of fact or mistakes in interpretation.

1. Introduction

It seemed hardly possible, just a few years ago, that one would take interest in the notion of risk found in classic Arab-Muslim literature relating to economic life. Risk was assumed by certain authors to be synonymous to *gharar* (aleatory contract) (Vogel & Hayes, 1998, p. 87; Visser, 2009, p. 45), therefore prohibited; which is inconceivable in a financial system renowned to promote the benefits of risk sharing. For example, *The Global Financial Development Report 2015/2016* of the World Bank states that “the recent growth of Islamic finance, based on the principles of risk sharing and participatory finance, offers potential alternatives for long-term financing” (World Bank, 2015, p. 97). A recent IMF Working Paper mentions the following:

According to a key Shari‘ah ruling that “**reward (that is, profit) comes with risk taking**”, investment return has to be earned in tandem with risk-taking and not with the mere passage of time, which is also the basis of prohibiting *ribā*. (Hussain, Shahmoradi, & Turk, 2015, p. 6)

However, the use of the expression ‘speculative risk’ as a synonym for ‘*maysir*’, and that of ‘exposure to excessive risk’ as a synonym for ‘*gharar*’ (Askari, Iqbal, & Mirakhori, 2015, p. 209) is unsatisfactory. What is in question is the aleatory nature of contracts which may create dissent and conflict between the two parties or penalize one party (Nadvi, 2015, p. 117).

For some contemporary Muslim scholars, risk appears as a pure emanation of capitalism (Sadr, 1983, vol. 2, p. 256); or a modern notion (Elgari, 2003, p. 3-4) referring to the distinction between two types of uncertainty: one that can be measured, i.e. risk, and one that cannot be measured (Knight, [1921] 1996). The assumption of this computational approach, associating risk with modernity, that is to say, the use of the newest methods or technology, seems to escape their field. Hence the need for a multi-disciplinary approach beyond economics and law including history, geography, sociology, anthropology, and postmodern epistemology that takes into account the interaction between “subject/object” and favors dialogue among various types of knowledge. This approach is also more relativist than the modern positivist epistemology that relies mostly on a quest for objectivity and the instrumental rationality to legitimize its knowledge.

A few notable evolutions manifest themselves over recent years to shed light on some classical Arab-Muslim thoughts related to risk. In spite of their interest, these developments bring forward a certain number of limits. On the one hand, they are essentially a reference to a normative perspective. However, the question of risk may be the outcome of what could be a moral, practical or computational preoccupation. The question can be equally addressed as an objective reality that refers to an institutional definition or to a social construction resulting from the confrontation of interests, knowledge and practices of different social actors. Hence the necessity of broadening the perspective without *a priori* privileging neither such and such point of view, nor such and such tool, which amounts to using varying definitions of risk wisely, all the while keeping in mind their respective limits (Pradier, 2006). On the other hand, the question of risk is typically approached in an anachronistic manner which is not without problems from a rigorous historical research perspective.

Finally, our analysis limits itself to a study of written conventional sources without considering other sources, such as diaries of journeys, manuscripts related to sales contracts, and judgments given by courts of law. Exploring various collections of many ancient Arabic scrolls dispersed across the world reveals an impressive amount of letters meant for merchants, without mentioning the latter’s deeds, the tales they told, and the traditions they inherited (Ragheb, 1988). As an example, there are some letters that date back to the Abbasid dynasty (750-1258) written by traders who hailed from Fustat⁽¹⁾, during the eleventh century, that outline the risks that the conclusion of a *salam* (advance purchase) contract would impose on the merchants who come from cities in a rural context (Johansen, 2006, p. 867). The study of this type of historical manuscript, which is a priceless treasure, is neglected by researchers in Islamic economics and finance. Most studies are limited to a purely legal aspect concerning the lawfulness of the contracts without consideration of the spatial and temporal dynamics and the complexity of social structures.

(1) Al-Fustāt was the capital of the Muslim province founded in 641 by ‘Amr ibn al-Āṣ, on the east bank of the Nile River, south of modern Cairo.

After exploring the theorization of the notion of risk in classical Arab-Muslim literatures, the study addresses risk as a threat and an opportunity in this literature, before synthesizing the main results and formulating avenues for further research. Our analysis is undertaken in the light of advances in scientific knowledge exceeding the conventional cleavages of modernity and religion, non-measurable uncertainty and measurable uncertainty (risk), on the one hand; and beyond the Islamic law approach centered on gambling and aleatory contracts by incorporating notions such as vulnerability, on the other.

2. The Theorization of a Notion of Risk in Classical Arab-Muslim Literature

In the renowned classical dictionary of the Arabic language “*Lisān al-‘Arab*” written by Ibn Manzūr (1232-1311), the Arabic equivalent of the word risk, in this case ‘*mukhāṭarah*’, traces its roots back to the three letters: خ (kha), ت (ta), ر (ra), respectively the seventh, sixteenth and tenth letters of the Arabic alphabet, that when put together, form the word ‘*khaṭar*’ which means both imminent danger, peril, jeopardy, risk, hazard and fortune (2003, vol. 5, pp. 99-100). As further described below, the words ‘*khaṭar*’ and ‘*mukhāṭarah*’ are commonly used as equivalent.

If Muslim scholars did not discuss the concept of ‘*mukhāṭarah*’ in its computational sense, i.e. a process of computing or calculating, they have discussed the aspects closely related to their daily life. The word ‘*khaṭar*’ or ‘*mukhāṭarah*’ is used not only in the sense of ‘*gharar*’ and/or ‘*maysir*’, but also in the sense of ‘*jahālah*’ (unknown, ignorance). For example, Imām Mālik ibn Anas (711-795), the founder of the Mālikī school of Islamic jurisprudence, says:

Among the *mukhāṭarah* (risk) and *gharar* is buying what is in the wombs of female animals, because he does not know whether it will be delivered alive or not? And if it comes or is delivered alive, he does not know if it will be good or ugly, or complete or defective, or male or female? The value depends on how it comes out or is delivered. (al-Zarqānī, 2003, p. 470)

His sentence “because he does not know whether it will be delivered alive or not” reflects in contemporary language all the three elements of probability, uncertainty and undesirability. The element of proba-

bility is embedded in this case, because there is a possibility that what is in the womb may be delivered or may not be delivered. Hence, this situation creates uncertainty of occurrence of an event. In addition, one of the two possibilities is also related to the occurrence of an undesirable event which is, in this case, the fetus is not delivered or delivered dead and not alive⁽²⁾.

Furthermore, the notion of risk appears as a synonym for aleatory contract (*gharar*) and games of chance (*qimār* or *maysir*) (al-Masri, 2009, p. 79; Oweida, 2010, p. 31). This semantic association adopted by some contemporary economists has sometimes been interpreted to be an absolute prohibition of risk by Islamic law, the Sharī‘ah. The polysemy becomes, in this case, problematic if it interferes with the normative field.

In general, as noted by the Ḥanafī scholar Amīr Kātib Itqānī (1286-1356), Islamic law permits certain choices in financial contracts “to reduce the risk (*khaṭar*) as much as possible”⁽³⁾ (Itqānī, 1999, vol. 1, pp. 353-354). Furthermore, according to the conceptualization of the Damascene jurist Ibn Taymiyah (1263-1328):

Risk (*khaṭar*) takes two forms: the first form is associated with business and consists of buying a merchandise in order to sell it in exchange for some profit while putting one’s full trust in God (*tawakkul*); this type of inevitable risk (*khaṭar*) [...] is closely related to commercial activity. As for the second form of risk (*khaṭar*), it concerns games of chance (*maysir*) for the sake of illegally enriching one’s self, which is clearly forbidden by Allah and His Messenger. (Ibn Taymiyah, 1997, vol. 2, pp. 700-701)

His disciple Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah (1292-1350), developed a reasoning nearly similar by saying:

There are two types of risk: the risk (*mukhāṭarah*) of trade which consists of buying goods with intent to sell and make profit by trusting in God; and the risk (*khaṭar*) related to

(2) I would like to express my thanks for this remark made by one of two anonymous peer reviewers.

(3) I borrowed this analysis of Amīr Kātib Itqānī from Nadvi (2015, p. 47). The book “*al-Tabyīn*” (Making clear the methodology of Islamic jurisprudence), he mentioned, is cited in the references at the end of this paper.

gambling that brings to illegal disposal of the property which has been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger. (Ibn Qayyim, 1994, vol. 3, p. 263)

This proves that the prohibition of risk in the classical Muslim jurisprudence is not inherent in the risk itself regardless of its link with the productive economy, by any means or in any form whatsoever.

Before the development of Islamic banking in the early 1960s – although the idea in itself goes back to the early twentieth century according to current knowledge (Belabes, 2013) – the economics literature used to convey the idea that Islam carries in its deepest essence a risk aversion. Some economists would relate this interpretation to fatalism (al-Buraey, 2010, pp. 206-207). In secularized societies, risk is generally thought to be a modern notion that is inconceivable in pre-modern societies who identify calamities and disasters with the divine decree. According to this theory (Pons, 2015, p. 108), risk is defined by the following equation:

$$R = p \cdot q(ab)$$

where: p = probability of winning; q = probability of losing; a = gain; b = loss.

The thesis that Islam is antithetical to risk does not elicit a broad consensus among economists. More than half a century ago, a French economist Gérard Destanne de Bernis (1928-2010) who lived in the Maghreb region⁽⁴⁾ already emphasized the following: “It is fundamental to first understand that the fatalistic interpretation of Islam is only an interpretation and not essentially an attitude found in Islam itself” (de Bernis, 1960, p. 126).

Evidence is found in classical writings of Muslim scholars who encourage hard work and economic activities, condemn idleness and refute fatalism to which some followers of Sufism, a mystical and ascetic school of thinking inside Islam, call to. Writings supporting this stance include the following:

- “*Kitāb al-Kasb*” (Treatise on Earning a Livelihood) by Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī (749 – 805) (1980, pp. 32-44), a disciple of the famous jurist Abu Ḥanīfah.

(4) The Maghreb Region is usually defined as the region of western North Africa or Northwest Africa, west of Egypt, and includes Mauritania, Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia and Libya.

- “*Al-Hath ‘alā al-Tijārah wa al-Sinā‘ah wa al-Amal wa al-Inkār ‘ala man Yadda ī al-Tawakkul fi-Tark al-Amal wa al-Hujjah ‘Alayhim fi-Dhālik?*” (Incitation to trade, industry, work and refuting those who pretend to put their trust in God by abandoning work) by the Ḥanbalī jurist al-Khallāl (849-932) (1995).

- “*Al-Falākah wa al-Maflukūn*” (Poverty and the poor) by al-Dulājī (1365-1435) (1993, p. 12-18).

Other economists link the abhorrence of risk by some Muslims to the Islamic law that prohibits games of chance (gambling) and wagering. As Jacques Austruy (1930-2010) wrote:

Islam, not particularly favorable to individualism, is clearly hostile to the spirit of capitalism that is comprised of love for risk, of desire for lucre and power, which, together, form the very basis of the economic revolution in the West. This hostility is not only moral but is also inscribed in the Islamic law. (Austruy, 1960, p. 160-161)

This interpretation is based on the Weberian premise according to which the notion of risk is specific to the spirit of capitalism (Weber, [1904-1905] 2003, p. 13). Most theorists of risk recognize, in one way or another, seniority of the notion, but the portrait they stand for derives from the period prior to the modern era and is somewhat too general. Most explanatory patterns, centered on the recent history of Western civilization, at a theoretical level, do not account for the diversity of experiences of past societies.

3. Risk as a Threat and an Opportunity in Classical Arab-Muslim Literature

Taking on a more descriptive and explicative stance, some classic Muslim scholars put emphasis on the fact that every economic activity involves risk; in other words, risk is a threat just like it can be an opportunity. ‘Umar ibn Al-Khattāb (583-644), the second *khalifah* of the Muslims who had been a merchant, advised his companions the following: “Take two farmed animals for the price of one; so that if one dies, the other one survives”⁽⁵⁾. This

(5) The original Arabic text is as follows:

“فَلْيُقْرِبُوا عَنِ الْمُنْبَيَّةِ، وَاجْعَلُوهَا الرَّأْسَ رَأْسَهُنَّ”. Narrated by Ibn Abi Shaibah (vol. 5, p. 304, *hadīth* no. 26328) and Abdul Razzaq (vol. 10,

means that diversification of investments makes far more sense than putting all your eggs in one basket (Nadvi, 2015, p. 48). The investor can put all his eggs in one basket if he is sure that basket won't break. But in the real economy, absolute certainty almost never exists. So spreading the eggs among multiple baskets is the safest way that when the day is over he still has some eggs. The reflection of 'Umar ibn al-Khattāb is the result of a long experience in trade that included successes and failures. In this sense, the study of failures is no less important than that of success.

In this perspective, the literature on juristic maxims (*qawā'id fiqhīyyah*) generally refers to two rules. The first states '*al-ghunm bi-al-ghurm*'⁽⁶⁾ (the benefit is a return for the detriment), and link the entailment of gain (*al-ghunm*) to the responsibility of loss (*al-ghurm*). It is generally used to express a preference for profit-and-loss sharing modes of financing (*al-mushārakah fi al-ribh wa al-khasārah*). The second legal maxim is derived from the *hadīth* of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) "*al-kharāj bi al-damān*"⁽⁷⁾ stipulating that the benefit of one thing is a return for the liability for loss from the same thing.

In his book "*Šayd al-Khāṭir* (Quarry of the Mind), the Damascene historian and jurist of the twelfth century Ibn al-Jawzī (1114-1201) highlights that man "in his quest for wealth, resorts to risks, travels and exceptional efforts" (Ibn al-Jawzī, 1999, p. 214). In his book "*al-Shifā' fi Mawā'iz al-Mulūk wa al-Khulafā'*" (Treaty on Advice to governors), he is more precise when he says:

Don't you see that profit is harvested by means of travel across the sea despite the risk incurred [...] If the trader is saved from the twisted events of the sea [...] none may compete with him in this *fāḍilah* (virtue) (Ibn al-Jawzī, 1978, p. 43).

Through this wording, the author makes a clear characterization between danger (a threat to which the merchant exposes himself to) and risk (being fortunate enough to reach his destination with his

p. 435, *hadīth* no. 19618). The translation given is according to the views of Ibn Manzūr (2003, vol. 11, p. 168), Ibn al-Athīr (1979, vol. 3, p. 837) and Nadvi (2015, p. 48).

(6) The Ottoman Courts Manual, Introduction: Part II, Maxims of Islamic Jurisprudence, Article 87.

(7) *Hadīth hasan* (good), see: Ibn Mājah (1996, p. 385, *hadīth* no. 2243); The Ottoman Courts Manual, *Op. cit.*, Article 85.

goods intact or to come out safe and sound himself). Without this explicit or implicit conceptual distinction, merchants would not otherwise dare venture to faraway lands to trade and find solutions with risky outcomes. A letter sent by an itinerant merchant, under the Muslim reign during the 7th century, reveals the existence of a commercial and financial network to transfer the funds from one place to another by simple writings, without running the risk of resorting to long-distance transport (Ragheb, 1988, p. 26).

The word "*fāḍilah*" (virtue)⁽⁸⁾, used by Ibn al-Jawzī in the previous passage, calls upon a behavior of economic agents that voluntarily leads to the good according to an ethical ideal while building their own successful business. The merchants of that time sup-lied themselves with different types of products: spices, salt, silk, fur, amber, wood, iron, porcelain, etc.

The example of the merchant navy, mentioned by the same author, seems to introduce the danger at sea without an identifiable cause. As a matter of fact, the danger could be the result of a storm, a collision with other vessels, acts of piracy, the dilapidated state in which the ship is in, an accident due to a malfunction or an error committed by one of those who are present on board. The sea routes used to include the Mediterranean Sea, the Red Sea, the Caspian Sea, the Arabian Gulf, the Persian Gulf and the Indian Ocean. In the Mediterranean Sea, until the first half of the 18th century at least, three major perils threatened merchants: storms, pirates, and vulnerability of ships, as reported by Ibn Hamadouche (born in 1695) in his famous business trip⁽⁹⁾ (1983, pp. 98-114). The *aléa* and vulnerability were integrated into his analysis of risk, perhaps because of the journey that made him aware of the importance of spatial and temporal aspects of risk.

Imagine that the boat where Ibn Hamadouche travels is affected by a threat like a sea storm. If its force reaches a level above normal, this will cause a number of personal injuries and material damage.

(8) It should be noted that the notion of "*fāḍilah*" used in the past by some Muslim scholars is more rigorous than the notion of "*competitive advantage*" very popular today.

(9) This confirms the importance of the writings of travelers and geographers as an important source for the contributions of Muslim scholars to economic thought and analysis (Islahi, 2015, p. 79).

All these damages represent vulnerability, i.e. the sensitivity of the boat to the threat. This gives the following equation:

$$\text{Risk} = \text{Threat} \times \text{Vulnerability}$$

Thus, a threat that occurs in empty space bereft of any kind of human presence multiplies vulnerability equal to zero; there is no risk. But, a threat, even unlikely, in a densely populated area represents a high risk, because the vulnerability is greatest.

If we take into account the total cost of the impact of a particular threat experienced by a vulnerable target, the formula takes the following form:

$$\text{Risk} = \text{Threat} \times \text{Vulnerability} \times \text{Cost}$$

Furthermore, according to the Andalusian exegete and jurist of the thirteenth century, al-Qurṭubī (1214-1273):

The use of the word commerce in ordinary language suggests a trade that can be carried out in two ways: as for the first, it is restricted to watching out for opportunities and looking for an annuity at local level without any movement or travel. In no way does this condition motivate ambitious men who are enamored of adventure. The second method consists of importing goods from different regions by means of travel. This condition is more suitable for energetic people and more substantial. It involves, however, more risk (*khaṭar*) and is marred by aleatory contracts (*gharar*). (al-Qurṭubī, 1995, vol. 5, p. 151)

The Andalusians were considered to be among early major actors of maritime trade and behind the development of animated harbors that stood along the coastline of the Greater Maghreb region. Almería, Málaga and Seville had relations with the commercial areas of North Africa which opened the door beyond to the Eastern world, notably Egypt (Valérian, 2006). The purpose of al-Qurṭubī's written passage lies in the distinction between two types of economic agents: on the one hand, those who perceive the risk as a threat and strive to earn money easily and without effort. On the other hand, those who have the courage to take calculated risks without fearing failure and whose perseverance generates substantial dividends. It highlights, in addition, a social vulnerability, i.e. fragility inherent in the challenges of risk in business. This fragility can be related to social, political, economic and cultural factors. It should be noted that al-Qurṭubī retains only two extreme cases: risk-averse and risk-taking.

Nowadays, contemporary economic theory states that in situations of uncertainty, individuals differ in risk-propensity. Three attitudes are theoretically possible:

- (i) Risk-taking.
- (ii) Indifference toward risk, sometimes called ‘risk-neutrality’.
- (iii) Risk-averse.

In the first case, risk is perceived as an opportunity for large and quick gains. In the second, the uncertainty is neither synonymous with chance, nor inconvenience; and in the third, as the source of potential losses.

For its part, contemporary Islamic literature identifies three types of risk:

- (i) essential risk that is inherent in all business activities.
- (ii) prohibited risk which refers to *gharar*.
- (iii) manageable risk that does not fall into the above two categories (Hassan, 2009).

In the “*Muqaddimah*” (Prolegomena), which is an introduction to “*The book of lessons, record of beginnings and events in the history of the Arabs, foreigners, Berbers and their powerful contemporaries*”, Ibn Khaldūn (1332-1406) wrote:

The trader who imports goods from a distant land, or who finds himself facing perilous risks on the roads, will have the advantage in selling those goods while at the same time getting some considerable profit out of it. He can be assured that his merchandise will sell easily as these are either exceptionally rare or missing in whichever country he is trading in due to the long distance needed to travel to acquire them or the specific place from where these goods were originally imported or even the great perils to which he exposed himself to on the roads that he had (no choice but) to cross. For that reason, there is but a limited number of traders who dare to import this merchandise as they are indeed extremely rare in their homeland. Still, when merchandise is rare and obtaining it is even harder, its price increases thereby. But if the country to which the trader sets off is not that far away and the routes are safe and secure; then it is only natural that a lot of his fellow traders will be journeying on that path and those rare merchandise would go from scarce to being abundant – sold at a low price. (Ibn Khaldūn, 1978, p. 396)

This idea is reiterated by Ibn al-Azraq (1428-1490) (1977, vol. 2, p. 319), Chief Judge of Granada under the reign of the Sultan abu al-Hasan ‘Alī, in his treatises on political thought “*Badā’i‘ al-Sikl fī Tabā’i‘ al-Mulk*” (The Wonders of State Conduct and the Nature of Kingship). In a study on the itinerant traders of the Muslim world during the Medieval Ages, the historian Youssef Ragheb (1996) agreed, saying to some extent that:

Neither did the hazards and troubles nor the rights and even the exactions of which the itinerant traders were constant victims prevent them from carrying on with their trade: the fabulous gains prompted them to leave again along with new merchandise. (p. 207)

It is astonishing how one of the most notable theoreticians of Islamic economics rejects the notion of risk on the grounds that capitalism explains the gain and justifies it with risk-taking (Sadr, 1983, vol. 2, p. 256). This ideological posture expresses a regression of economic thinking in comparison with classical writings. The new is not necessarily better, and it may be the truth of the postmodern idea. Everything new must go through healing and return to the old.

4. Conclusion

At the end of this exploration, it appears that the perception of risk in Arab-Muslim literature is approached from different angles. Each perception is in-line with a particular aim (normative, descriptive, explicative), and therefore corresponds to a specific epistemological posture. The linear narrative according to which the notion of risk is beyond the reach of the pre-modern era (Beck, 2003, p. 29) seems as of now hardly sustainable. This leads to the analysis of risk and management of risk outside the binary opposition between tradition and modernity (Valverde, 2012), traditional societies and secularized societies, i.e. those who have experienced a decline in religious beliefs and practices.

The exploration of the classical Arab-Muslim literature shows that the overall notion of risk and

its use is not only a concept that precedes modern times, but also that risk is not absolutely forbidden by a normative approach. It is encouraged if it benefits the real economy from which everyone will draw profit, and prohibited if it is associated with gambling where a player garners riches at the expense of the other.

This confirms the thesis which states that societies in the past displayed their capacity of thinking about and anticipating risk (Fressoz, 2012). In the face of risks, humans do not react in a purely rational manner. Individuals gauge risks also with reference to moral values and ethical norms reflecting their society (Walter, 2008).

Hence the need to dislodge risk from modernistic and computational biases. In this context, on the one hand, risk cannot be considered as a characteristic trait of modernism. On the other hand, the perception of risk cannot be exclusive to the probabilistic tool conferring the illusion of resolving problems that are essentially based on technical measures. Their reasoning goes as follows: any situation of human life can be reduced to a technical issue, any technical problem has a technical solution, and any technical solution can be modeled quantitatively. This view ignores the performativity phenomenon in so far as the models are not findings; they are actively engaged in the reality they describe (MacKenzie, Muniesa, & Siu, 2007).

Moreover, the exploration of this literature leads to the need to overcome the legal approach focused on the notions of gambling and aleatory contracts by exploring new notions, in particular that of vulnerability developed by travelers and geographers which provide multiple perspectives for research. Field-based action is still required in the absence of certainty about the *aléa* or exposure. The space of risk is not necessarily coincident with that of the *aléa* (Veyret & Reghezza, 2006). Doesn't Chaos theory teach us that a *butterfly flapping its wings* in the Mediterranean can cause a hurricane in the Atlantic Ocean!

References

- Askari, Hossein, Iqbal, Zamir, & Mirakhori, Abbas** (2015), *Introduction to Islamic Economics: Theory and Application*, Singapore: John Wiley and Sons.
- Austruy, Jacques** (1960), Vocation économique de l'Islam [Economic Vocation of Islam], *Cahiers de l'ISEA*, 106(2), pp. 151-212.
- Beck, Ulrich** (2003), La société du risque globalisé revue sous l'angle de la menace terroriste [The Terrorist Threat World Risk Society Revisited], *Cahiers internationaux de sociologie*, 114(2003/1), p. 27-33.
- Belabes, Abderrazak** (2010), La notion de risque dans la pensée économique islamique [The Notion of Risk in Islamic Economic Thought], in 'Les services financiers et la gestion des risques dans les banques islamiques', Setif: Université Ferhat Abass, pp. 97-113.
- Belabes, Abderrazak** (2013), La notion de risque en économie revisitée à la lumière de la littérature arabo-musulmane classique [The Notion of Risk in Economics Revisited in the Light of the Arab-Muslim Classical Literature], *Les Cahiers de la finance islamique*, 5, pp. 7-15.
- Belabes, Abderrazak** (2013), Ṣafahāt min Tārīkh al-Masrafiyyah al-Islāmiyyah: Mubādarah Mubakkirah li-Inshā' Maṣraf Islāmī fi al-Jazā'ir fi Awākhir 'Ishrīnāt al-Qarn al-Mādī [A Few Pages from the History of Islamic Banking: An Early Initiative to Establish an Islamic Bank in Algeria in the Late 1920s], *Studies in Islamic Economics*, 19(2), pp. 1-49.
- al-Burayy, Muhammad** (2010), *Administrative Development: An Islamic Perspective*, New York: Routledge.
- Destanne de Bernis, Gérard** (1960), Islam et le développement économique [Islam and Economic Development], *Cahiers de l'ISEA*, 106(2), pp. 105-150.
- al-Dulājī, Ahmad** (1993), *Al-Falākah wa al-Maflūkūn* [Poverty and the Poor People], Beirut: Dar Al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Elgari, Mohammad** (2003), Credit Risk in Islamic Banking and Finance, *Islamic Economic Studies*, 10(2), pp. 1-15.
- Fressoz, Jean-Baptiste** (2012), *L'Apocalypse joyeuse: une histoire du risque technologique* [The Joyful Apocalypse: An History of Technological Risk], Paris: Seuil, col. L'Univers historique.
- Hassan, Hussein Hamid** (2009), Basic *Shari'ah* Principles Governing Risk Management, paper presented in Harvard-LSE Workshop on Risk Management, London School of Economics, 26 February.
- Hussain, Mumtaz, Shahmoradi, Asghar and Turk, Rima** (2015), An Overview of Islamic Finance, *IMF Working Paper*, WP/15/120.
- Ibn Abi Shaybah, Abdullah** (1988), *Al-Muṣannaf fi al-Āḥādīth wa al-Āthār*, Riyad: Maktabat al-Rushd.
- Ibn al-Athīr, al-Mubārak** (1979), *Al-Nihāyah fi Ghārīb al-Ḥadīth wa al-Āthār*, Beirut: al-Maktaba al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Ibn al-Azraq, Abu Abdullah** (1977), *Baḍā'i' as-Silf fi Tabā'i' al-Mulk* [The wonders of State conduct and the nature of kingship], Baghdad: Ministry of Information of the Republic of Iraq.
- Ibn al-Jawzī, Abdul Rahmān** (1987), *Al-Shifā' fī Mawā'iz al-Mulūk wa al-Khulāfa'* [Book on Advice to governors], Alexandria: Mouassasat Shabāb al-Jāmi'a.
- Ibn al-Jawzī, Abdul Rahmān** (1999), *Ṣayd al-Khāṭir* [Quarry of the Mind], Beirut: Dar al-Kitāb al-'Arabi.
- Ibn Hamadouche, Abderrazak** (1983), *Riḥlat Ibn Hamādūsh al-Jazā'ir* [The Trip of Ibn Hamadush the Algerian], Algiers: Entreprise nationale algérienne du livre.
- Ibn Khaldūn, Abdul Rahmān** (1978), *Al-Muqaddimah* [Prolegomena], Beirut: Dar Al-Qalam.
- Ibn Mājah, Muhammad ibn Yazīd** (1996), *Sunan ibn Mājah*, authenticated by Muhammad Nasir al-Dīn Al-Albāni, Riyad: Maktabat al-Mā'arif.
- Ibn Manzūr, Abu al-Fażl** (2003), *Lisān al-'Arab* [Classical Arabic Dictionary], Beirut: Dar Sādir.
- Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, Shams al-Dīn** (1994), *Zād al-Ma'ād* [Provisions for the Hereafter], Beirut: Muassasat al-Risālah.
- Ibn Taymiyah, Taqi-al-Dīn** (1997), *Tafsīr Āyāt Ushkilat* [The Explanation of Verses that Posed a Problem for some Exegetes], Riyad: al-Rushd Library – Riyadh Company for Publishing and Distribution.
- Islahi, Abdul Azim** (2015), *History of Islamic Economic Thought: Contributions of Muslim Scholars to Economic Thought and Analysis*, Cheltenham – Northampton: Edward Elgar.
- Itqānī, Amīr Kātib** (1999), *Al-Tabyīn fi Uṣūl al-Fiqh*, Kuwait: Ministry of Awqaf & Islamic Affairs.
- Johansen, Baber** (2006), Le contrat salam. Droit et formation du capital dans l'empire abbasside (XI^e-XII^e siècle) [The Salam Contract. Law and Capital Formation in the Abbasid Empire (XI-XII century)], *Annales HSS*, 4, pp. 863-899.
- al-Khallāl, Abu Bakr** (1995), *Al-Hath 'alā al-Tijārah wa al-Šinā'ah wa al-'Amal wa al-Inkār 'ala man Yadda 'i al-Tawakkul fi-Tark al-'Amal wa al-Hujjah 'Alayhim fī-Dhālik* [Incitation to trade, industry, work and refuting those who pretend to put their trust in God by abandoning work], Halab: Maktab al-Maṭbū'āt al-Islāmiyyah.
- Knight, Frank** ([1921] 1996), From Risk, Uncertainty and Profit, in Puttermann, L. (ed), *The Economic Nature of the Firm: A Reader* (pp. 60-65), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MacKenzie, Donald, Muniesa, Fabian, and Siu, Lucia** (2007), *Do Economists Make Markets? On the Performativity of Economics*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- al-Masri, Rafic** (2009), *Al-Fikr al-Iqtisādī al-Islāmī* [Islamic economic thought], Damascus: Dar al-Maktabī.
- Nadvi, Ali Ahmad** (2015), *Al-Madkhal ilá Qawā'id al-Fiqh al-Mālī* [Introduction to Financial Legal Maxims], Jeddah: Center of Scientific Publications, King Abdulaziz University.
- Oweida, Adnan** (2010), *Nazariyyat al-Mukhāṭarah fī al-Iqtisād al-Islāmī* [The Theory of Risk in Islamic Economics], Herndon: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Pons, Benoît-Joseph** (2015), *Le risque et la prévention* [Risk and Prevention], Lavigerie: www.lulu.com
- Pradier, Pierre-Charles** (2006), *La notion de risque en économie* [The notion of Risk in Economics], Paris: La Découverte, collection Repères.
- al-Qurtubī, Muhammad** (1995), *Al-Jāmi' li-Aḥkām al-Qur'ān* [Commentary on the Qur'ān], Beirut: Dar Ihya' al-Turath al-'Arabī.
- Ragheb, Youssef** (1988), Marchands d'Egypte du VII^e au IX^e siècle d'après leur correspondance et leurs actes [Merchants of Egypt from the Seventh to the Nineteenth Century by their Correspondence and their Actions], *Actes des congrès de la Société des historiens médiévistes de l'enseignement supérieur public*, 19(1), pp. 25-33.
- Ragheb, Youssef** (1996), Les marchands itinérants du monde musulman [The traveling Merchants of the Muslim World], *Actes des congrès de la Société des historiens médiévistes de l'enseignement supérieur public*, 26(1), pp. 177-215.
- Sadr, Muhammad Baqer** (1983), *Iqtisādunā* [Our Economy], Paris: Mouassasat al-Kitāb al-Islāmī li-al-Dīrāssāt wa-al-Tarjamah.
- al-Šan'ānī, Abu Bakar Abdul Razzaq** (1403), *Al-Muṣannaf*, Karachi: Manshurat al-Majlis al-'Ilmi.
- al-Shaybani, Muhammad Ibn al-Hassan**, (1980), *Kitāb al-Kash* [Treatise on Earning a Livelihood], Damascus: Edited by Abdelhadi Harssoun.
- The Ottoman Courts Manual**, http://www.iium.edu.my/deed/lawbase/al_majalle/
- Valérian, Dominique** (2006), *Bougie, port maghrébin, 1067-1510* (online), Rome: Publications de l'École française de Rome, doi:10.4000/books.efr.194.
- Valverde, Marian** (2012), Analyser le risque et la gestion du risque en dehors de l'opposition binaire entre tradition et modernité [Risk Analysis and Risk Management outside the Binary Opposition between Tradition and Modernity], in Bérard, Jean, Niget, David, & Petitclerc Martin (eds.) *Pour une histoire du risque: Québec, France, Belgique* (pp. 337-348), Presses Universitaires de Rennes et Presses Universitaires du Québec.
- Veyret, Yvette & Reghezza, Magali** (2006), Vulnérabilité et risques. L'approche récente de la vulnérabilité [Vulnerability and Risk. The Recent Approach of the Vulnerability], *Responsabilité & Environnement*, 43, pp. 9-14.
- Visser, Hans** (2009), *Islamic Finance: Principles and Practice*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.
- Vogel, Frank E. & Hayes, Samuel L.** (1998), *Islamic Law and Finance: Religion, Risk, and Return*, The Hague: Kluwer Law International.
- Walter, François** (2008), *Catastrophes. Une histoire culturelle, XVI^e-XXI^e siècle* [Disasters. A Cultural History from the Sixteenth to the Twentieth Centuries], Paris: Seuil.
- Weber, Max** ([1904-1905] 2003), *Ethique protestante et esprit du capitalisme* [The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism], Paris: Gallimard, Tel collection.
- World Bank** (2015), *Global Financial Development Report 2015/2016: Long-Term Finance*, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank Group.
- al-Zarqānī, Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Bāqī** (2003), *Sharḥ al-Zarqānī 'alá Muwaṭṭa' al-imām Mālik* [The explanation of al-Zarqānī of the Muwattā' of Imām Mālik], Cairo: Maktabat al-Thaqāfah al-Diniyah.

Abderrazak Belabes, is a researcher at the Islamic Economics Institute, King Abdulaziz University. He holds a Bachelor of Science in electronics, a Master of Science in econometrics, and a Ph.D. in economic analysis and policy from the School of High Studies in Social Sciences (EHESS), Paris. He is a member of the Strasbourg University Research Team in Islamic finance. His main research interests – beyond the subject matter – focus on economic methodology, in the broadest sense of the term, which includes the distinction between the existence of the object (ontology), its representation (imago), and the way to know it (epistemology). Hence the need to deconstruct the discourse on Islamic economics and finance.

E-mail: abelabes@kau.edu.sa

نحو إعادة النظر في مفهوم المخاطرة في الاقتصاد في ضوء الأدبيات العربية والإسلامية التقليدية

عبدالرزاقي سعيد بلعباس

باحث بمعهد الاقتصاد الإسلامي

جامعة الملك عبد العزيز، جدة، المملكة العربية السعودية

مستخلص: يهدف هذا البحث إلى بيان أن المجتمعات العربية وال المسلمة - من إندونيسيا إلى الأندلس - لم تنتظِ ما يوسم بالحداثة لمعرفة كيفية التعامل مع الخطر. بل وضعوا لأجل ذلك قواعد سلوكية، وأساليب للتفكير، وقيم أخلاقية، طورت على مر الأزمان. من الورقة الأولى يظهر أن البحث الرئيس لمفهوم المخاطرة يتحصر في الأدبيات العربية والإسلامية الكلاسيكية في التمييز بين شكلين من المخاطرة: يرتبط الأول منها بالأنشطة التي تخدم الاقتصاد الحقيقي، والثاني بالقمار والمجازفة. فيتم تشجيع المخاطرة إذا كانت تخدم الاقتصاد الإنتاجي الذي ينفع منه جميع أفراد المجتمع، بينما يتم تحريمه في القمار الذي يربح فيه طرف على حساب طرف آخر. يظهر من خلال هذا البحث أن مفهوم المخاطرة في ضوء هذه الأدبيات لا ينحصر في المقاربة الفقهية، كما يتعدى مسألة العد الاحتمالي للجهالة الذي يُميز المقاربة المعاصرة للمخاطرة. هذا التعريف الأوسع للمخاطر يفتح المجال للدراسات الميدانية التي ترتكز على مفاهيم مثل الهشاشة التي أشار إليها بعض الرحالة المسلمين. ويظهر أيضاً من خلال هذا البحث أهمية العناية بالمخطوطات في استكشاف مفاهيم بديلة للمخاطرة، وهي تمثل كنزًا من كنوز المعرفة التي لا تُقدر بثمن.

الكلمات الرئيسية: المخاطرة، القمار، الغرر، الجهة، الهشاشة، الأدبيات العربية والإسلامية، الحداثة.